



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND  
**ENERGY EFFICIENCY &  
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL**

*5.d. Council Discussion & Vote on 2019 EE and SRP Plans*

Key Discussion Question(s):

1. Do the Plans sufficiently address the concerns of the stakeholder groups represented by Council members?
2. Does the EE Plan meet the 2019 energy savings targets and follow the current Standards? If not, are the reasons valid and clearly described?
3. Do the Plans identify strategies and an approach to program implementation that will secure all cost-effective energy efficiency resources that are lower than the cost of supply? I.e. do the Plans fulfill the requirements of Least Cost Procurement?
4. Are there any adjustments to the Plans that should be made to better fulfill Least Cost Procurement requirements?
5. Are there any adjustments to the Plans that should be made to better support the groups represented by council members?
6. Will the PUC likely approve the Plans? ("The commission shall issue an order approving all energy efficiency measures that are cost effective and lower cost than acquisition of additional supply, ... and shall approve a fully reconciling funding mechanism to fund investments in all efficiency measures that are cost effective and lower cost than acquisition of additional supply..." Excerpt from LCP Law)

Recommended vote language:

1. a motion to approve the latest versions of the 2019 Energy Efficiency Program Plan and 2019 System Reliability Procurement Plan submitted by National Grid to the Council with the following amendments: \_\_\_\_\_ [List any necessary amendments for approval here. Recommended amendments are shown in the C-Team Presentations and are numbered for easy reference. For Example, you could say "C-Team recommended EE amendments 1-10 and C-Team recommended SRP amendments 1-3"] \_\_\_\_\_. Any amendments made to the Plans prior to filing with the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) must be reviewed and agreed to by the EERMC Consultant Team, acting on behalf of the Council. Furthermore, the Council directs Marisa Desautel to provide a signature page to National Grid prior to October 15<sup>th</sup> as part of the Settlement of Parties for the PUC filing.

*6.c. Council Discussion & Vote on Cost-Effectiveness Report*

Key Discussion Question(s):

1. Does the report accurately answer the question: are the 2019 EE and SRP Plans cost-effective?
2. Does the report make it clear why the 2019 Plans are or are not cost-effective?
3. Will the report be helpful and/or informative for the PUC?
4. Is there any information that should be added to or clarified within the report?

Recommended vote language options:

1. a motion to approve the cost-effectiveness report as currently written and to direct the consultant team to complete the few highlighted sections within the document and to submit the report to the PUC prior to the November 5, 2018 deadline.
2. a motion to approve the cost-effectiveness report with the following amendments: \_\_\_\_\_. And to direct the consultant team to complete the few highlighted sections within the document and to submit the report to the PUC prior to the November 5, 2018 deadline.

*6.d. Council Discussion & Vote on Consultant Team Contract Extension*

Key Discussion Question(s):

1. Has the Consultant Team appropriately supported the needs of the Council in 2018?
2. Has the Consultant Team adhered to the contract established between the Council and Optimal Energy?
3. Has the Consultant Team appropriately assisted the Council in ensuring energy efficiency program compliance with Least Cost Procurement law?

Recommended vote language options:

1. a motion to direct Marisa Desautel to draft a one-year contract extension letter to be executed by the Chair and Optimal Energy before December 31, 2018 and after a Scope of Work has been reviewed by the Council for 2019.
2. a motion to direct the Chief Purchasing Officer to prepare a Request for Proposals (RFP) to seek new Consultant Services on behalf of the Council for 2019.