

6.a. Discussion & Vote on Council's Consultant Services RFP

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Is the Review Committee recommendation clear? Are there questions for the Review Committee?
- 2. What would be the most advantageous selection/contract for the EERMC?

Rec

commended vote language options:	
1.	Make one award: A motion to select _[vendor's name]'s proposal in full, and to direct the Office of Energy Resources to begin contract negotiations with [vendor's name] before the end of the month and to work with the Council's attorney and the Acting Chair to execute a contract with an initial term length of[one or two] years before December 23, 2020.
2.	Make multiple awards by dividing the scope of work: A motion to award _[Task Numbers within the Scope of Work: i.e. Tasks 1-4] of the scope of work within the RFP to _[vendor's name] and to award _[Task Numbers within the Scope of Work: i.e. Tasks 1-4] of the scope of work within the RFP to _[vendor's name] And to direct the Office of Energy Resources to begin contract negotiations with both firms before the end of the month and to work with the Council's attorney and the Acting Chair to execute a contract with an initial term length of _[one or two] years before December 23, 2020.
3.	Re-Issue the RFP with changes to the scope of work: A motion to direct the Office of Energy Resources to re-issue the RFP within the next week, with the following amendments to the Scope of Work: