

Council Discussion & Vote on the 2022 Annual Energy Efficiency Plan

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Does the EE Plan sufficiently address the concerns of the stakeholder groups represented by Council members?
- 2. Does the EE Plan achieve the 2022 energy savings targets and adhere to the current Standards? If not, are the reasons valid and clearly described?
- 3. Was the EE Plan developed in a way that adheres to the Least Cost Procurement Standards and provides clear justification for how the Plan addresses those components of statute optimally cost-effective, reliable, prudent, and environmentally responsible?
- 4. Does the EE Plan identify strategies and an approach to program implementation that will secure all prudent and reliable, cost-effective energy efficiency that is lower than the cost of supply? I.e. does the Plan fulfill the requirements of Least Cost Procurement?
- 5. Are there any adjustments to the Plan that should be made to better fulfill Least Cost Procurement (LCP) requirements?
- 6. Are there any adjustments to the Plan that should be made to better support the groups represented by council members?

Recommended vote language options:

- 1. A motion to endorse the 2022 Energy Efficiency Plan as presented by National Grid to the Council on September 23, 2021 with a total electric budget of \$122.6M and a total natural gas budget of \$36.7M, allowing for non-substantive changes (typos, etc.) to be done prior to filing.
 - Furthermore, the Council directs its legal Counsel to provide a signature page indicating the EERMC is a settling party to National Grid prior to the October 1st filing deadline.
- 2. A motion to not endorse, at this time, the 2022 Energy Efficiency Plan as presented by National Grid to the Council on September 23, 2021.
 - Furthermore, the Council directs its consultant team and legal counsel to include the reasons and submit comments on the Plan to the PUC prior to the October 1st filing deadline for their consideration in final review of the Plan.

Council Discussion & Vote on the Cost-Effectiveness Report

Key Discussion Question(s):

- 1. Does the report accurately answer the question: is the 2022 EE Plan cost-effective?
- 2. Does the report make it clear why the Plans are or are not cost-effective?
- 3. Is there any information that should be added to or clarified within the report?

Recommended vote language options:

- 1. WITHOUT AMENDMENTS: a motion to approve the cost-effectiveness report as currently written and to direct the consultant team to update the highlighted sections prior to submitting the report to the PUC by the October 22, 2021 deadline.
- 2 WITH AMENDMENTS: a motion to annrove the cost-effectiveness report with the following

team to submit the report to the PUC prior to the October 22, 2021 deadline.