

**2022 K-12 Energy Curriculum Training  
Recommendation for Proposal Selection**

**Date:** August 18, 2022

**To:** The Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council (EERMC)

**From:** Education Services Review Committee – Joe Garlick, Robert Izzo, and Peter Gill Case

**Subject:** EERMC 2022-01 – 2022 K-12 Energy Curriculum Training

The Review Committee, comprised of Joe Garlick, Robert Izzo, and Peter Gill Case, members of the Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council (EERMC), reviewed the two (2) proposals received by the Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council (EERMC) on June 3, 2022 for the subject EERMC-2022-01 – 2022 K-12 Energy Curriculum Training. The valuation was based on the following criteria: 1. Overview and Work Plan (25 points), 2. Qualifications and Experience (20 Points), 3. Project Management and Organization (15 points), 4. Cost proposal (30 points), 5. ISBE proposal (6 points), and 7. Interview Presentation Quality (10 points).

No interviews were conducted as the Review Committee believed that the proposals provided sufficient information to inform scoring and selection. Therefore, all proposals received 10 points in the Interview Presentation Quality category.

The proposal from the National Energy Education Development Project (NEED) did not receive an average technical score greater than the required 55 out of 60 technical points. Therefore, the cost and ISBE proposals for NEED were not opened, and the NEED proposal was deemed not technically qualified.

***Based on the review of the proposals submitted, the Review Committee believes that the Green Building Research Institute proposal offers all the components that this requisition specifies with a reasonable price and ISBE proposal for the Council.***

***The Review Committee proposes that the contract with the Green Building Research Institute be limited to one (1) year from the contract start date with the expectation that the work is completed within six months of the notification to proceed. The contract should be limited to the hourly rates included in the proposal. The Review Committee also encourages the Council to accept the workplan as submitted by the Green Building Research Institute on 6/3/2022 as the basis of the contract, with an updated and extended timeline to reflect the delay in award notification.***

<b>Offeror</b>	<b>Total Score</b>	<b>Maximum Possible</b>
Green Building Research Institute (GBRI)	99	106
National Energy Education Development (NEED)	Not Technically Qualified	106

Thank you,

Joe Garlick, Robert Izzo, and Peter Gill Case

Proposal Scores

<b>VENDOR</b>	<b>Total Points: Reviewer 1</b>	<b>Total Points: Reviewer 2</b>	<b>Total Points: Reviewer 3</b>	<b>TOT. AVE.</b>
<b>Green Building Research Institute (GBRI)</b>	99	98	100	99
<b>National Energy Education Development (NEED)</b>	54	55	52	Not Technically Qualified

Notes/Comments:

1. *Green Building Research Institute:*
  - Well-organized
  - Have developed contacts and outreach strategies in Rhode Island
  
2. *the National Energy Education Development Project:*
  - Application had multiple grammatical errors
  - Work plan was less developed than expected

	<b>Reviewer 1 Technical &amp; Interview Scores</b>				
<b>VENDOR</b>	<b>Overview &amp; Work Plan – 25 Points</b>	<b>Quals &amp; Experience - 20 points</b>	<b>Project Mngmt &amp; Organization – 15 Points</b>	<b>Total Technical Points - 60 Points</b>	<b>Interview Quality Points - 10 Points</b>
<b>Green Building Research Institute (GBRI)</b>	24	20	15	<b>59</b>	10
<b>National Energy Education Development (NEED)</b>	20	20	14	<b>54</b>	10

	<b>Reviewer 2 Technical &amp; Interview Scores</b>				
<b>VENDOR</b>	<b>Overview &amp; Work Plan – 25 Points</b>	<b>Quals &amp; Experience - 20 points</b>	<b>Project Mngmt &amp; Organization – 15 Points</b>	<b>Total Technical Points - 60 Points</b>	<b>Interview Quality Points - 10 Points</b>
<b>Green Building Research Institute (GBRI)</b>	23	20	15	<b>58</b>	10
<b>National Energy Education Development (NEED)</b>	22	20	13	<b>55</b>	10

	<b>Reviewer 3 Technical &amp; Interview Scores</b>				
<b>VENDOR</b>	<b>Overview &amp; Work Plan – 25 Points</b>	<b>Quals &amp; Experience - 20 points</b>	<b>Project Mngmt &amp; Organization – 15 Points</b>	<b>Total Technical Points - 60 Points</b>	<b>Interview Quality Points - 10 Points</b>
<b>Green Building Research Institute (GBRI)</b>	25	20	15	<b>60</b>	10
<b>National Energy Education Development (NEED)</b>	23	17	12	<b>52</b>	10

<b>VENDOR</b>	Average Total Technical Points - 60 Points – <i>(55 minimum for qualification)</i>	Interview Points –10 Points -	ISBE Points –6 Points -	Cost Points –30 Points -	<b>Average Total – 106 Points -</b>
<b>Green Building Research Institute (GBRI)</b>	59	10	0	30	<b>99</b>
<b>National Energy Education Development (NEED)</b>	53.7	10	Not Opened	Not Opened	<b>Not Technically Qualified</b>